

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/889,372	NAKAGAWA, JUN
	Examiner PETER-ANTHONY PAPPAS	Art Unit 2628

All Participants:

(1) PETER-ANTHONY PAPPAS.

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____.

(2) Stephen P. Catlin.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 4 April 2008

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1,28-33

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner requested clarification on the amended claim language filed 12/19/07. In particular the Examiner inquired as to whether a depth cueing value read on brining the color of the object closer to a target color. The Applicant indicated that this interpretation was correct.